

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/676,862	09/30/2003	Kenneth So	10519/112	7636
7:	590 09/16/2005		EXAMINER	
Brinks Hofer	Gilson & Lione		LAM, D	AVID
P.O. Box 10395 Chicago, IL 6			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Cilicago, IL o			2827	

DATE MAILED: 09/16/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			4.6
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	,
	10/676,862	SO ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	David Lam	2827	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	on appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR ITHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communica - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) day - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, b Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	FION. CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a tion. rs, a reply within the statutory minimum of thir period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI by statute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	n.
Status			
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed or	ı <i>4/</i> 29 <i>/</i> 05.		
· ·	☐ This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for a closed in accordance with the practice u	•	·	5
Disposition of Claims			
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-34</u> is/are pending in the applie 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are w 5) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-27</u> is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>28 and 30-34</u> is/are rejected. 7) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>29</u> is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction	ithdrawn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Ex	aminer.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) [☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to	by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection	to the drawing(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by	•	•	d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document of the priority document of the priority document of the certified copies of the application from the International Int	uments have been received. uments have been received in A re priority documents have been Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	application No received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)			
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9) 		Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date	
Notice of Draitsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P10-9 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/Paper No(s)/Mail Date		nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)	•

DETAILED ACTION

Allowable Subject Matter

1. Prosecution on the merits of this application is reopened on claims 28-34 considered unpatentable for the reasons indicated below:

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 28, 31-32, 34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Marotta et al. (6,697,283).

Regarding to claims 28, 31-32, 34, Marotta discloses a system for sensing a memory cell comprising a memory cell comprising temperature-dependent behavior (136); a current sensing amplifier (105) coupled with the memory cell; a temperature-dependent reference current source (300) operative to generate a temperature-dependent reference current comprising a positive temperature coefficient; wherein the current sensing amplifier compares the temperature-dependent reference (Iref) current to current sense back from the memory cell (Isense) during a read operation to determine whether the memory cell is programmed; wherein the memory cell

Art Unit: 2827

comprise a write-many, nonvolatile memory cell and two dimensional array. See Figs 1-3A; Cols. 4-8.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 30, 33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Marotta et al. (6,697,283) in view of Bosch et al. (6,424,581).

As per above discussion, Marotta et al. disclose the claimed invention but lack an inclusion of wherein the first memory is a three-dimensional array with write-once memory cell. However, Bosch et al. disclose a three-dimensional array with write-once memory cell (12). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Marotta et al.' memory array by utilizing Bosch et al.'s teach to provide high-speed and cost-effective semiconductor memory device. *See Fig. 1*.

Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claim 29 objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Art Unit: 2827

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David Lam whose telephone number is 571-272-1782. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:00-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Hoai Ho can be reached on 571-272-1777. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 272-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

D. Lam

September 12, 2005

DAVID LAM PRIMARY EXAMINER